Agenda	Торіс	Decision
Item No		

Note: this decision list is for guidance only. The text of the minutes, which may be different, is definitive.

Part A – Items considered in public

A1	APPLICATION FOR A PREMISES LICENCE FOR HORNCHURCH FOOD & WINE, 77 PARK LANE, HORNCHURCH, RM11 1BH	Licensing Act 2003 Notice of Decision
		PREMISES Hornchurch Food & Wine, 77 Park Lane, Hornchurch, RM11 1BH
		DETAILS OF APPLICATION
		Application for a premises licence under section 17 the Licensing Act 2003 ("the Act").
		APPLICANT Mr Hasan Coban, 26 North Street, Romford RM1 1BH
		1. Details of the application
		The premises are a single mid terrace shop with trading on the ground floor and living accommodation above
		The premises are situated in a row of shops on the east side of Park Lane about 50 metres south of Park Crescent. The surrounding premises are mainly residential properties.

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
-------------------	-------	----------

The application is for:			
Supply of Alcohol (off sup	Supply of Alcohol (off supplies only)		
Day	Start	Finish	
Monday to Sunday	08:00hrs	22.00hrs	
 promote the four licensing obj 2. Promotion of the Licensing The applicant acted in accord 	operating schedule, which for ectives. ensing Objectives ance with Regulations 25 an oremises certificates) Regula ed newspaper advertisement 2012.	rmed part of the application to d 26 of The Licensing Act 2003 tions 2005 relating to the advertisin	
Valid representations may on	ly address the following licen	sing objectives:	
 The prevention of crim The prevention of pub The protection of child Public safety 	lic nuisance		
There were 23 valid represen	tations against this application	on from interested parties.	

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision

The representations referred to increased public nuisance and specified the likelihood that the application, if granted, would result in an increase in litter and rubbish in the area, and would place pressure on the 'Drinking Control Area'. Additionally the application would not be conducive to ensuring public safety, as it would divert police resources away from policing other areas of the town. Concern was also expressed that even now gangs of youth congregate in the area because of the two off licences and to extend the hours here would exacerbate the problem. Reference was also made of two robberies which residents claim were down to the fact that these premises already have a licence. To extend the hours would increase the risk of anti-social behaviour within a highly residential area. The issue of Protection of Children from Harm was also raised with the issue of broken bottles and cans being thrown or blown into front gardens making it unsafe for children.
4. Responsible Authorities' representations
Metropolitan Police – none Public Health: None.
London Fire & Emergency Planning Authority ("LFEPA"): None.
Planning Control & Enforcement: None.

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
-------------------	-------	----------

		Children & Families Service: None
		Trading Standards Service: None
		The Magistrates Court: None
		Licensing Authority: None
	5.	Applicant's response
		Mr O'Connell the applicant's agent explained that his client already holds a premises licence which permits him to sell alcohol until 8.00pm. He is applying for a new licence which would allow him to sell alcohol between the hours of 8.00am to 10.00pm, an extension of just 2 hours. The application also offers the opportunity to simplify the conditions which are attached to the licence. He pointed out that none of the responsible authorities had submitted any representations in respect of the application.
		what they wished to see. He had also written to the local vets to offer to help resolve the problem of the low wall. No one had responded to these letters.
		His client wished to work with local residents and had tried to engage with them. He had provided all the necessary documentation to show that he was no longer associated with the previous owner of the premises. His client had engaged with the licensing officers to ensure he had included all the necessary conditions in his application.
		He reminded the Sub-Committee that the Licensing Policy permitted regulated activities

Agen Item		Decision
--------------	--	----------

to 11.30pm in residential areas. He was prepared to regulate his opening hours to close at 10.00pm so he would not be open after he could no longer sell alcohol.
6. Determination of Application
Decision
Consequent upon the hearing held on 10 December 2012, the Sub-Committee's decision regarding the application for a Premises Licence for Hornchurch Food & Wine, 77 Park Lane, Hornchurch was as set out below, for the reasons shown:
The Sub-Committee was obliged to determine this application with a view to promoting the licensing objectives, which are:
The prevention of crime and disorder
Public safety
The prevention of public nuisance
The protection of children from harm
In making its decision, the Sub-Committee also had regard to the Guidance issued under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 and Havering's Licensing Policy.
In addition, the Sub-Committee took account of its obligations under s17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, and Articles 1 and 8 of the First Protocol of the Human Rights Act 1998.
Agreed Facts

AgendaTopicDecisionItem No	
----------------------------	--

	Facts/Issues	The Licensing Officer advised the sub-committee that to the best of his
	1 acts/155ues	knowledge licensing had received no complaints regarding the premises
		since Mr Coban took over. Whilst he had not visited the premises to check if
		the conditions were being complied with he believed his colleague had
		undertaken a standard visit to the premises.
		One of the local residents, Mrs Haworth, informed the sub-committee that
		she had lived in the area for over 20 years. This was a highly residential area
		and Park Lane was a narrow road. The parade of shops included two off- licences. The other shop Rose Wines had voluntarily reduced the opening
		hours to 8.00pm and this had had a beneficial effect in reducing anti-social
		behaviour.
		Defers 77 Derivities an end on an eff license the entities side hereign was
		Before 77 Park Lane opened as an off-licence the anti-social behaviour was concentrated at one end of the parade, it has now moved down the parade.
		Those people who lived closest to the premises had written very compelling
		letters concerning the nuisance caused. Mrs Haworth also read out a letter
		from Miss Brooks, which made similar points.
		Councillor Thompson spoke to his own representation and on behalf of Mr
		Sims. He reminded the Committee that the application had received no
		support from local residents. Also the applicant had worked at the premises
		for the previous owner who had caused problems.
		In response the applicant's agent reminded the Sub-Committee that his client
		had tried to contact local residents before he submitted the application and
		no one had taken up his offer to discuss the matter. Under the Licencing
		Policy regulated activities are permitted till 11.30 pm in a residential are.

Agenda Topic Decision Item No Item No Item No

and the the follow	 Committee having considered the representations from the interested parties views of the applicant, through his agent, decided to refuse the application on wing grounds: The applicant already has a licence until 8.00pm, so we are effectively looking at an extra 2 hours from 8.00pm to 10.00 pm. The Sub-committee were satisfied from the unchallenged evidence of the objectors that there has been on-going problems in this area due to the congregation of youths who are attracted to the availability of alcohol for sale. We consider that if we were to grant this licence that it would lead to an increased risk of: Anti-social behaviour, To public safety, and Of disorder.
--------------------	---